THE SALISH SEA: MSP IN PUGET SOUND COUNTRY

DAVID FLUHARTY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Salish Sea added to our watery lexicon 10/31/01

BY LYNDA V. MAPES Seattle Times staff reporter

OLYMPIA – Local tribes called it Whulge. George Vancouver named it for his buddy Peter. And now yet another name for Puget Sound is nearly official: the Salish Sea.

SALISH SEA

WASHINGTON STATE BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NAMES APPROVED THE NAME TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE ECOLOGICAL CONTINUUM THAT SPANS THE INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY BETWEEN CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES.

SALISH SEA AS AN APPROVED NAME FOR THE BODY OF WATER ENCOMPASSING PUGET SOUND, THE STRAIT OF JUAN DE FUCA, THE STRAIT OF GEORGIA AND THE MANY WATERY CONNECTIONS IN BETWEEN.



WHAT'S IN A NAME?

"WE ARE THE SHORELINE AND SALMON PEOPLE, MANY OF OUR SONGS, TRADITIONS, AND ANCIENT NAMES AND CEREMONIES ARE TIED TO THE WATERS OF THE SALISH SEA"

BRIAN CLADOOSBY, CHAIRMAN OF THE SWINOWMISH TRIBE – Seattle Times 10/30/09

WHAT'S IN A NAME

- "IT'S AN ECOLOGICAL VICTORY. WE TALK ABOUT PLACE-BASED CONSERVATION, BUT HOW DO YOU DO THAT WITHOUT A NAME FOR THE PLACE OR A SENSE OF PLACE? THE BORDER DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING FOR THE KILLER WHALES OR THE PACIFIC SALMON THAT CROSS IT EVERYDAY."
- J. GAYDOS, SEADOC SOCIETY The Seattle Times 10/30/09

Puget Sound ecosystem management: Illustrating the potential of IEAs

Ecosystem goal for Puget Sound A healthy Puget Sound supports sufficient quantity and quality of habitats to provide ecosystem goods and services upon which all species, including humans, depend (PSP 2006)

Now it's MSP!

FOR BETTER OR WORSE

| Step 1 | Defining need and establishing authority |
|----------------------|--|
| Step 2 | Obtaining financial support |
| Step 3 | Organizing the process (pre-planning) |
| Step 4 | Organizing stakeholder participation |
| Step 5 | Defining and analyzing existing conditions |
| Step 6 | Defining and analyzing future conditions |
| Step 7 | Developing and approving the spatial management plan |
| Step 8 | Implementing and enforcing the spatial management plan |
| Step 9 | Monitoring and evaluating performance |
| Step 10 | Adapting the marine spatial management |
| Ehler et al. 2009IOC | process |

Observation: IOC Steps Are Important Elements of MSP but the Sequence...

IT AIN'T NECESSARILY SO

PORGY AND BESS

1. Defining need and establishing authority

PUGET SOUND PARTNERSHIP ACT

60th Legislature 2007 Regular Session

PUGET SOUND PARTNERSHIP

EFFECTIVE DATE: 07/01/07

Passed by the Senate April 20, 2007

YEAS 43 NAYS 4 SENATE YEAS 86 NAYS 12 HOUSE



PSP = WHAT?

The Puget Sound Partnership is a community effort of citizens, governments, tribes, scientists and businesses working together to restore and protect Puget Sound.

Goal is to make Puget Sound healthy again

PSP is Coordinating Agency [not a Regulatory Agency]

The PSP Action Agenda will prioritize cleanup and improvement projects, coordinate federal, state, local, tribal and private resources, and make sure that we are all working cooperatively.

PSP is basing decisions on science, focusing on the actions that have the biggest impact and will hold people and organizations accountable for results.

[PSP Strategic Plan 2009-2015]

PSP Leadership Council

Serves as the regional salmon recovery organization for Puget Sound [and Hood Canal] to implement the Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan [based on the Shared Salmon Strategy].

This integrates watershed approach with nearshore and Puget Sound-wide priority setting. [Note spatial extent – next slide].







PSP Designated Lead under EPA's NEP

PSP is designated at the lead entity for integrating estuary and watershed protection programs for Puget Sound under the National Estuary Program [Clean Water Act sec. 320]

2. Obtaining financial support

- Most funding from on-going state management efforts, Dept. Ecology, Dept. Natural Resources
- Significant federal funding for ESA listed Salmon
- EPA National Estuary Program
- Special allocations, e.g., \$4,000,000 from EPA. "From within the funds provided, \$4,000,000 is included for the Puget Sound Ecosystem Research Initiative at the University of Washington's College of the Environment. These funds are to conduct, coordinate, and disseminate scientific research to inform policy decisions necessary to carry out the Puget Sound Action Agenda." November 2009
- Leveraging expected
- ARRA Restoration Funding
- Lesson: No cost estimate. No defined budget. Series of negotiations. Prioritization of action.



Publisher: Virgil Fassio Executive Editor: J.D. Alexander Editorial Page Editor: Charles J. Dunsire Managing Editor: Thomas A. Read Business Manager: William R. Cobb



3. Organizing the process (pre-planning)

PSP builds off of:

PSWQA /Puget Sound Action Team efforts 1983-2007

- Shared Salmon Recovery Strategy 2007
- **Puget Sound Nearshore Assessment**
- **Northwest Straits Initiative**
- PSP incorporates and coordinates across programs [Using IEA approach].

[Cooperation with British Columbia, Canada not discussed here]

Lesson: MSP is joining the fray mid-stream. Not an abstract process. Success will come if can capture momentum and add depth to processes

THE USE, STUDY AND MANAGEMENT OF PUGET SOUND

PROCEEDINGS March 23–25, 1977 University of Washington

GOVERNING PUGET SOUND ROBERT L. BISH

feudalism/1066/common law/1215/Magna Carta/liberty/due process of law freedom of speech/trial by jury/freedom of religion/appearance of fairness/colonies Articles of Confederation/taxation without representation/American Revolution U.S. Constitution/federal system/separation of powers/sovereignty/enumerated powers property rights/taking/citizen rights/executive branch/legislative bodies/courts treaties/interstate commerce/international trade/public lands/Bill of Rights general welfare/power to spend/preemption/public trust/riparian rights territorial sea/navigable waters/jurisdiction/submerged lands/executive branch bureaus/agencies/departments/implementation/regulation/statutory law legislative branch/authorization/appropriation/interest groups/equal footing treaties/Washington State Constitution/popular sovereignty/Declaration of Rights initiatives/referenda/recall/Washington Administrative Code/general elections open primaries/nonpartisan elections/nominees/coalitions/majority/minority party platform/constituencies/stalemate/mitigation/master permit/complex framework coordinating processes/trade-offs/interdepartmental negotiation/pluralistic structure popularism/open and flexible/responsive/Puget Sound/anadromous fish/water guality navigable waters/military reservations/commercial fishing/recreational boating dredging/diking/waste disposal/transportation/irrigation/highways/bridges ferry system/shorelands/first class tidelands/submerged lands/second class tidelands harbor lines/aquaculture/wildlife refuges/parks/ports/sewage/flood control water supply/planning/zoning/municipal codes/property taxes/petitions common problems/emergency services/citizen involvement/home rule/citizen access appearance of fairness/jurisdictions/metropolitan municipal corporations county government/city government/port districts/special districts/statewide associations information exchange/lobbying/treaties/equal protection/reservations civil and criminal jurisdictions/assimilation/allotment policy/fishing rights tribal hatcheries/resource allocation/Point Elliott/Medicine Creek/Point No Point court decisions/usual and accustomed/in common with/shoreline management biological uses/human impacts/natural processes/economic development/public access recreation/shoreline use/statewide significance/conservation/historical uses/guidelines cultural aspects/master program/federal consistency/identification of boundaries definition of uses/energy facility siting/full participation/national concerns interdependency/consult/compromise/seek solutions/debate/legislate/adjudicate adaptive capacity/coalitions/bias/veto/rigidity/accommodation/nullification/multiplicity redundancy/multiple decision points/legal system/institutional arrangements choice making/governance/knowledge/access/trade-offs/decision-making costs adaptive capacity/certainty/innovation/fairness/maintenance of natural environment effectiveness/efficiency/responsiveness

Shared Strategy Salmon Recovery plan January 2007







Integrated Ecosystem Assessment

Levin et al. PLoS Biology 2009

Incorporating the work of Sainsbury, Smith and probably others

Iterating science into decision frameworks



4. Organizing stakeholder participation

- Stakeholders state, tribes, federal, local, users [ports, fisheries, aquaculture, environmental NGOs, private sector, science [one seat at table]
- Maintains watershed stakeholders orientation of Shared Salmon Strategy
- Incorporates input from multiple processes

Lessons: Stakeholders engaged much before PSP. Science not the driver but the arbiter? Stakeholder process must be done from the start [not step 4]. Outreach and education

COGNITIVE DISCONNECT

- 95% OF POPULATION OF PUGET SOUND REGION REGARDS PUGET SOUND AS AN ASSET/PART OF QUALITY OF LIFE
- 25% AGREE THAT PUGET SOUND IS IN TROUBLE AND ARE WILLING TO SPEND MONEY TO SUPPORT RESTORATION

[RECENT POLL INDICATES SUPPORT MAY BE BUILDING 95% value Puget Sound/45% willing to spend PSCG November 2009]



"I am two with nature"

- Woody Allen

the state of

Thanks to Mary Ruckelshaus

IGET SO A CONCERT FOR SURF SAUF **RECENT AD FOR** CONCERT SUPPORT FOR PUGET SOUND **IN THE STRANGER**

5. Defining and analyzing **existing conditions**

SYNTHESIS REPORTS

Sound Science 2007

describes 'what we know' about the interactions between all the components of the ecosystem of the Puget Sound region and identifies likely future threats.

State of the Sound 2009 [every two years]

are detailed 'status and trends' reports on the health of the Puget Sound ecosystem produced earlier by the Puget Sound Action Team now PSP.

Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan (Shared Strategy), the Guidance for Protection and Restoration of the Nearshore 2007 Ecosystems of Puget Sound (PSNERP), and the Puget Sound Conservation and Management Plan (PSAT)

provide recommendations for management actions needed for individual species or habitats. Each of these documents provides a separate piece of the foundation of ultimate comprehensive management and research plans for Puget Sound.

Threats (Risk assessment)

- Agriculture & Livestock Grazing
- Air Pollution & Atmospheric Deposition
- Aquaculture
- Climate Change
- Dams, Levees & Tidegates
- Derelict Gear & Vessels
- Dredging & Dredged Material Disposal
- Invasives Terrestrial
- Invasives Freshwater
- Invasives Marine
- Large Scale Timber Harvest
- Military Exercises
- Mineral / Gravel Mining
- Non-Point Source Loading & Runoff

- Oil & Hazardous Spills
- Onsite Sewage Systems
- Point Source Pollution
- Recreational Activities
- Recreational Marinas
- Residential, Commercial, Port & Shipyard development
- Roads, Transportation & Utility
 Infrastructure
- Shoreline Armoring
- Unsustainable Fishing / Harvesting
- Vessel Traffic & Interaction
- Wastewater Treatment Plant Discharge & CSOs
- Water Withdrawals & Diversions
- Fire Suppression
- Renewable Energy & Resources
- Excess Energy

* As identified in the Action Agenda (Ch. 3) and through the Open Standards steps

Policy filter on indicators--using stakeholder values

| | SECTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------|---------|--------------|----------|---------------------|---|
| ECOSYSTEM SERVICES | Environmental interests | Tribal governments | Cities | Counties | Recreation | Agriculture | Forestry | Fishing & aquaculture | Tourism | Homebuilding | Business | Ports & shipping | Total sectors citing 'high importance' (n=12) |
| Crops | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| Livestock | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Capture fisheries | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| Aquaculture | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 |
| Wild foods | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| Timber and other wood fiber | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| Other fibers | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Biomass fuel | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Water | | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 |
| Genetic resources | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| Biochems, nat meds, pharmacls | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Air quality regulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| Global climate regulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Regional and local climate regulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Water regulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 |
| Erosion regulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| Water purification and waste treatment | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| Disease regulation | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Pest regulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Pollination | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Natural hazard regulation | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| Recreation and ecotourism | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 |
| Ethical and existence values | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 |

6. Defining and analyzing **future conditions**

Other trade-offs to come...

What kinds of coastal management and fishery policies will give us the best returns for sustainable fisheries, shoreline protection and recreation?







- food from fisheries and aquaculture
 transformation and sequestration of wastes
 shoreline stabilization and protection from inundation
 opportunities for recreation
- draw for tourism
- cultural values







No Fishing Trophic level threshold All ecological thresholds



Model Structure



Resilience for Social-Ecological Systems: Evolving Definitions

- The ability of either system to withstand or adapt to "shocks" to itself or to other systems to which it is linked (after C.S. Holling 1973)
- The capacity of governance systems to accommodate change in ways that support societal development and environmental linkages for generations to come (Folke 2006, Robards and Greenberg 2007)



Figure 4 State space as represented by a three-dimensional stability landscape. Basins of attraction are areas within this space where a system tends to remain. I

-From Robards and Greenberg, Global Constraints on Rural Fishing Communities: Whose Resilience Is It Anyway? (2007).

Evaluating watershed scenarios under future climate: Chinook

Current

Restoration

Snohomish River: Climate and Landuse Change Impacts by Subbasin, Year 2050







Change from Current with 2050 Climate and Business-As-Usual Landuse: GFDL



Change from Current with 2050 Climate and Business-As-Usual Landuse: HadCM3



Change from Current with 2050 Climate and Restoration Landuse: GFDL



Change from Current with 2050 Climate and Restoration Landuse: HadCM3

GFDL

Hadley

Battin et al. 2007

7. Developing and approving the spatial management plan

CONCEPTUAL APPROACH TO DEVELOP ACTION PLAN

Decisions

Actions

Ecosystems

Value

Institutions

Information

Services

Economic & cultural models

Guerry, Plummer, Harvey and Ruckelshaus in press



KEY ECOSYSTEM BENEFITS

- Nisqually River is largest undeveloped delta in Puget Sound, important for salmon and wildlife; largest National Wildlife Refuge in Puget Sound
- Nursery area for multiple Chinook populations
 - Areas of intact shoreline
- Unique prairie habitat with endemic species
- Some forest lands
- · Nationally renowned shellfish; one of the largest shellfish producing areas in state
- · Recreation: clamming, crabbing, Mt. Rainier National Park, kayaking, boating
- · Numerous commercial and residential centers
- Center of government
- · Hydropower for City of Centralia and City of Tacoma 100
 - · Regional leadership in reclaiming municipal wastewater 0
 - Ports of Olympia and Shelton
 - 👿 🗾 Homeland security: Fort Lewis & McCord Air Force Base

LOCAL PRESSURES (KEY THREATS IN BOLD) **Habitat Alteration**



Nearshore alterations: 40% shoreline armored; miles of BNSF rail along eastern shoreline, Loss of riparian and estuary habitat, some intertidal alterations

Blocked habitat: dams on Deschutes and Nisqually Rivers; fill for I-5 on Nisqually.

Loss of prairie habitat through land conversion Loss of hydrologic function from existing and expanding impervious surface

Pollution

- Industrial pollution in bays and contaminated sediments: Oakland Bay, Chambers Bay, Budd Inlet
- Pollutant loading leads to low dissolved oxygen: Budd Inlet, Case Inlet, Carr Inlet
- Bacteria and pathogens from human and animal waste
- Poor air quality due to particulate pollution (wood smoke, diesel emissions, etc.)

Surface/Groundwater Impacts

Low flows in WRIA 12; flow issues in WRIA 13

Invasive Species

Need to identify

Artificial Propagation

- Potential ecosystem impacts related to some aquaculture practices 1220
- High proportion of hatchery salmon in South Sound nearshore and 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
 - marine waters have unknown impacts on wild salmon

Harvest

Need to identify

Localized climate change impacts



Sea level rise: Significant loss of estuarine beaches potentially sooner than other areas of Puget Sound; inundation of tidal flats; flooding at downtown Olympia

Population/Other

- Conflicting use values of marine shorelines
- Increase in population by 2030: 33%; more than 310,000 people, in Thurston, Pierce, Mason counties
 - JONES JONES

2008

Symbols courtesy of the Integration and Application Network (ian umces.edu/symbols), University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science sources: 1. Initial Discussion Draft Paper. Land Use/Habitat Protection and Restoration in Proget Sound 4/14/2008 2. South Puget Sound Action Area Profile (DRAFT), Ann Seiter, 5/19/2008 3. South Puget Sound Action Area Action Agenda Basis, 5/2008 4. The Nature Conservancy Ecoregional Assessments. Williameter Valley-Puger Tough-Georgia Basis (3/2004). Est at Annuel Net Cascade (6/2007) 5. NOAK Status Assessments (DRAFT) 5/2008

PSP AND SPATIAL MANAGEMENT

- PSP AT THIS STAGE IS NOT COMPREHENSIVE SPATIAL PLANNING OR ZONING [e.g., Massachusetts]
- PSP LINKS WATERSHEDS, COASTS AND PUGET SOUND [ESA Driver]
- PRESENT EMPHASIS IS PRIORITIZING PLACE-BASED ACTIONS TO ACHIEVE GOALS THROUGH PARTNERSHIPS –
- Down-Payment on MSP?

8. Implementing and enforcing the spatial management plan

PSP IMPLEMENTING/ ENFORCING

- Without a MSP per se this Step is premature
- PSP is required to report to Legislature, Governor and public on progress – projects and programs under the Action Plan and scientific assessment of progress toward a Healthy Puget Sound
- PSP is working toward comprehensive and transparent tracking and reporting process

9. Monitoring and evaluating performance

Establishing Baseline



Word frequencies extracted from Rice and Rochet (2005)

Levin with permission

MEANINGFUL INDICATORS

Interim indicators for State of the Sound

Species & Food Webs

- Marine mammals
- Marine birds
- Marine fish
- Marine invertebrates
- Salmon
- Terrestrial birds
- Food webs (later)
 - Marine
 - Freshwate
 - Terrestrial

Habitats

- Eelgrass area
- Intertidal wetlands
- Upland habitat conversion

Human Health

- Safety of seafood
- Safety of water

Human well-being

- Working resource lands & industries
- Nature oriented recreation

Water quantity

- Stream flow of major rivers
- Hydrologic alteration from urbanization

Water quality

- chemical contamination in marine env.
- Hypoxia in marine env.
- Freshwater quality index

10. Adapting the marine spatial management process

Other Initiatives

- Northwest Straits Commission
 - County Marine Resource Committees, e.g., San Juan County – Voluntary Marine Stewardship Area]
- Puget Sound Nearshore Ecosystem Restoration Project
- Orca Pass?
- The Big Eddy

Adapting

- Clear intent of PSP to be Adaptive
- Iterative process
- Learn as process continues
- Adjust with new knowledge and circumstances
- Stay tuned!

SALISH SEA -- WHAT'S IN A NAME?

- The discourse on Puget Sound is changing
- Drivers of change are concerns over Endangered and threatened species, adaptation to climate change, quality of life, etc.
- Institutions are evolving to coordinate across watersheds, coasts and marine ecosystems
- We're not there yet but are on the way





SAN JUAN COUNTY MARINE STEWARDSHIP AREA

- Marine Stewardship Area [MSA] is to foster a stewardship ethic in residents and visitors. In 2008, the Marine Resource Committee hosted experts to present at MRC meetings, published three newsletters and a stewardship guide, helped coordinate and financially supported the work of local educational and citizen volunteer organizations, and provided training and guidance for the technical skills needed to carry out effective stewardship.
- Effective stewardship of marine resources will only occur through broad participation of citizens who understand and embrace their capacity to shape a sustainable path into the future. In 2008, the MRC had resources that enabled us to provide coordination and financial support for several local organizations that offer outstanding mentorship for citizen science and engagement, including WSU Beach Watchers, Soundwatch, the SanJuan Nature Institute and Kwiaht. [MRC 2008]

N.B. THIS IS A VOLUNTARY, BOTTOM UP APPROACH

SAN JUAN COUNTY MARINE RESOURCE COMMITTEE STEWARDSHIP AREA



Marine Biological Reserve National Wildlife Refuge Bottomfish Recovery Zone Whalewatch Esclusion Zone Sensitive Eclgrass Area



The Puget Sound Nearshore Ecosystem Restoration Project is a large-scale initiative that affords a unique opportunity to tackle some of the foremost habitat restoration needs in Washington State's Puget Sound basin. Nearshore Project goals are to identify significant ecosystem problems, evaluate potential solutions, and restore and preserve critical nearshore habitat. We represent a partnership between the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), state, local, and federal government organizations, tribes, industries, and environmental organizations.